

# The Path to Transcritical

TC or not TC
That is the question.





Ken Welter, PE



# The Journey

- 3-Rack, DX HFC Prototype
  - > 3,000 lbs HFC, 2.56 lbs / MBH
- Cascade CO<sub>2</sub>, Glycol Secondary MT, HFC Primary
  - > 1,200 lbs HFC, 1.22 lbs / MBH
- Cascade CO<sub>2</sub>, DX HFC Medium Temp
  - > 1,200 lbs HFC, 1.25 lbs / MBH
- Single Rack / Single Liquid Line
  - > 1,500 lbs HFC, 1.11 lbs / MBH





# The Destination

## Transcritical CO<sub>2</sub> Trial, Giant #2743-Springfield, VA

## Design decisions

- Circuit Piping (dedicated circuits or loop piping)
- Low-temp Defrost (hot gas or electric)
- Gas Cooler (dry or evaporative pre-cooling)

## First Cost Comparison to DX HFC Single Rack Prototype

- ➤ 180% Compression Gear (rack and gas cooler)
- ➤ 130% Cases, Unit Coolers & Controls
- ➤ 93% Refrigeration Install
- ➤ 94% Electrical Install





# The Discovery

#### Installation

- Rough piping and final connection of suction and liquid lines was faster and less expensive than HFC loop piping.
- > Stainless steel discharge gas piping was faster, but more expensive than multi-condenser HFC system.

#### Equipment

- Compressor rack and gas cooler were better quality of construction than typical HFC equipment.
- > Case manufacturers not fully up to the task of installing case controllers/EEVs with consistent quality.
- Coordinate evaporator specifications with system manufacturer.

#### Start-up

- Not an incremental process. Once the system is charged, it has to stay running.
- Be prepared for surprises.

#### Training

Mostly on the fly during factory assisted start-up.

#### Operation / Energy Performance

> Time will tell





# Giant #2743-Springfield, VA











# "What's past is prologue"

William Shakespeare – The Tempest

### CFC's & HCFC's

- Regulated
- Phased-out

### HFC's

- Regulated
- Phasing-out

## • HFO's

**—** ?????



